

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,663	02/08/2002	Colin Dunlop	GRIHAC P38AUS	8376
20210	7590 05/03/2006		EXAMINER	
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. FOURTH FLOOR			FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
	MERCIAL STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MANCHES	ΓER, NH 03101-1151		3736 DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Angliando					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/009,663	DUNLOP, COLIN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO	NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA	YS.				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature that the period is after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT! te. cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 I	ebruary 2006.						
,_							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>101-166</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	') Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>101-166</u> are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-15	۷.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Embodiment I related to monitoring the motion of the head, limbs and trunk of a patient, and respiratory motion of the patient for use as an indication of patient arousal; and Embodiment II related to monitoring the motion of the head, limbs and trunk of a patient, and respiratory motion of the patient for use as an indication of patient painfulness.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature present in Embodiment I and Embodiment II is monitoring the motion of the head, limbs and trunk of a patient, and respiratory

motion of the patient. However, because such a feature is known (See US Patent No. 5,846,206 to Bader), it is not a technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. Therefore, there is no corresponding special technical feature between Embodiment I and Embodiment II.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMLF

MOX F. HINDENBURG